

## ERRATA

### BIOCHIMICA ET BIOPHYSICA ACTA, VOL. 501 (1978)

p. 214, Table III, lines seven and eight should read:

+ $\beta$ -tocopherol quinone + PQA	52	47	—	—
+ ubiquinone-10	2	0	20	20

### BIOCHIMICA ET BIOPHYSICA ACTA, VOL. 502 (1978)

p. 1, "Introduction", the third line in the first paragraph, i.e. the last two sentences, should be replaced by the following:

The low spin heme EPR signal which is observed in the fully oxidized enzyme has been assigned to cytochrome *a* or to *a*<sub>3</sub> or to both [2–6]. Most workers prefer the identification of the low spin signal with cytochrome *a*. Beinert and coworkers [7] have presented strong evidence for this assignement.

p. 67, the tenth line from the top, i.e. Eqn. 4, should read:

$$h_{ii} = h_{ii}^0 + |q_i| (h_{ii}^+ - h_{ii}^0)$$

p. 68, second line below Eqn. 8,

for " $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_e h$ " read " $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_e \hbar$ " and for " $\gamma_I h$ " read " $\gamma_I \hbar$ "

p. 72, Table I, first column, the heading should read:

"Proton" instead of "M Proton"

Table I, fifth column, third number,

for "1.6" read "16"

p. 76, second paragraph, fourth line, the sentence commencing "As was done in Section IIIA . . .", should be replaced by the following:

"As in Section IIIA for BPh<sup>+</sup> *a*, the theoretical values for the ring IV protons originate from the direct contributions given by Eqn. 9. The hyperfine constants in the rotating methyl group protons in rings I and III arise from a combination of direct hyperconjugation effects and exchange polarization contributions, both having been included indirectly to the use of the empirical expression (Eqn. 11)."